NO MERCY/NO MALICE

Subscribe 订阅 Listen 听着



Short-Form War

Short-Form War 简式战争

Share this post
分享这篇文章

Audio Recording by George Hahn
录音: 乔治 · 哈恩

0:00 / 21:08

Fifty-one percent of Americans aged 18 to 24 believe the Hamas attacks of October 7 "can be justified by the grievances of the Palestinians." That's not how most Americans feel, and the disparity in sentiment is **correlated** with age.

在18至24岁的美国人中，有51% 的人认为哈马斯10月7日的袭击"可以用巴勒斯坦人的不满来证明"这不是大多数美国人的感觉，而且这种情绪上的差异与年龄有关。



SOURCE: HARVARD HARRIS POLL

This is not unique to the Hamas attack. The older you are, the more likely you are to be pro-Israel: In March 2022, 69% of Americans over 65 had a favorable view of Israel, while just 41% of those under 29 did. Worries about increasing antisemitism in the U.S. are similarly **correlated**: 85% of seniors say it's growing; 52% of Gen Zers say it's not.

这并不是哈马斯袭击所特有的。年龄越大，越倾向于支持以色列: 2022年3月，65岁以上的美国人中有69% 对以色列有好感，而29岁以下的人中只有41% 对以色列有好感。对美国日益增长的反犹太主义的担忧同样相关: 85% 的老年人认为反犹太主义在增长; 52% 的 z 世代认为没有。

PUBLIC OPINION OF ISRAEL
U.S., MARCH 2022



SOURCE: PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Young people are resistant to the views of their elders. And that's a good thing. As kids enter **adolescence**, they develop a healthy **gag reflex** triggered by anything associated with their parents. This helps them develop their own opinions and beliefs about the world, and it's also good for the parents, because **by the time** kids are 18, they can be such assholes that everyone's ready for them to leave the house.

年轻人拒绝接受长辈的意见。这是件好事。当孩子进入青春期时，他们会产生一种健康的呕吐反射，这种反射是由与父母有关的任何事情引起的。这有助于他们形成自己对世界的看法和信念，对父母也有好处，因为到孩子18岁时，他们可能会变得非常混蛋，所有人都准备好让他们离开家。

But that doesn't explain students at my employer (NYU) holding up protest signs reading "keep the world clean" with images of the Star of David in trash cans. I'd like to think this is a fringe view, but when 51% of their **cohort** believe the murder of 1,200 people is justified, something more serious is happening.



October 7, a majority of Americans under 45 were more sympathetic to the Palestinians than the Israelis. Yet during that time, U.S. policy has remained **staunchly** pro-Israel, and American media generally favorable toward Israeli interests. That gap is **widening** into a gulf, between establishment views and those of young people. All of which made me think of … Dresden.

一段时间以来，年轻人对以色列的态度变得越来越强硬: 在10月7日之前的几个月，大多数43岁以下的美国人更同情巴勒斯坦人，而不是以色列人。然而，在此期间，美国的政策仍然是坚定的亲以色列，美国媒体总体上支持以色列的利益。这种差距正在扩大，成为建制派和年轻人观点之间的鸿沟。这一切让我想起了... 德累斯顿。

125,000

12.5万

In 1945 the U.S. and British air forces rained 4,000 tons of high-explosive bombs on the German city of Dresden for 48 hours straight. The attack was **militarily advantageous**. It **impeded** German **troop** movement, destroyed a key city center, **inflicted** heavy German **casualties**, and, as the officers of the U.S. Strategic Bombing Survey put it, "left the German people with a solid lesson in the disadvantages of war." It also destroyed **acres** of historical and culturally priceless art and architecture and killed 25,000 civilians.

1945年，美国和英国空军连续48小时向德国城市德累斯顿投掷了4000吨高爆炸弹。这次进攻在军事上是有利的。它阻碍了德国军队的行动，摧毁了一个重要的城市中心，造成了大量的德国人伤亡，并且，正如美国战略轰炸调查局的官员所说，"给德国人民上了一堂关于战争弊端的课。"它还摧毁了数英亩具有历史和文化价值的艺术品和建筑，杀死了25,000名平民。

The Allies considered it such a success that a month later the U.S. repeated the tactic at an even greater scale on the other side of the globe, destroying 16 square miles of central Tokyo and killing 100,000 civilians — making March 9, 1945, the deadliest night in human history. Four months after that, Truman ordered the atomic destruction of Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Contemporary assessments and public opinion in the U.S. focused on the military advantage gained from these attacks, and little mention was made of the horrific human toll. It had no measured impact on U.S. support for the war or those **prosecuting** it.

盟军认为这次行动非常成功，一个月后，美国在地球的另一边以更大的规模重复了这一战术，摧毁了东京市中心16平方英里的土地，杀死了10万平民，使1945年3月9日成为人类历史上最致命的夜晚。四个月后，杜鲁门下令原子弹摧毁广岛和长崎。美国当代的评估和公众舆论集中在从这些袭击中获得的军事优势上，而很少提及可怕的人员伤亡。对于美国对战争的支持和那些发动战争的国家来说，这并没有产生可衡量的影响。

What would have happened if the people of Dresden had had TikTok? The same TikTok that is serving me dozens of videos from Gaza, **epitomized** by a couple taking cover with their innocent child from Israeli bombs. Around them only **rubble**. Heartbreaking. Heartbreaking enough to make you hate those behind the bombs, whatever their flag or justification.

如果德累斯顿的人们拥有 TikTok，会发生什么？就是这个 TikTok 给我提供了几十个来自加沙的视频，其中最典型的就是一对夫妇带着他们无辜的孩子躲避以色列的炸弹。他们周围只有瓦砾。令人心碎。令人心碎到足以让你憎恨那些炸弹背后的人，不管他们的旗帜或理由是什么。

Three Photos

ownership of media, access journalism, and bias go a long way in choosing what stories to cover and how to frame them.

在现代历史的大部分时间里，政府和精英阶层对叙事有着巨大的影响，尤其是在外交事务方面。当文字、声音和图像只能通过受控渠道访问时，完全没有必要采用1984年式的控制。企业对媒体的所有权、获取新闻和偏见在选择报道什么以及如何构建报道方面发挥了很大作用。

The exceptions prove the rule. When contrary evidence breaks into public awareness, the impact can be profound. Just three photos shifted U.S. public opinion against the Vietnam war more than thousands of dead American soldiers or lost battles: the 1968 image of a South Vietnamese General shooting a prisoner in the head, the 1970 picture of Mary Ann Vecchio **kneeling** over the body of a **Kent** State **classmate**, and the 1972 image of a naked girl fleeing napalm. If you are over 50, you likely can recall these images just by closing your eyes. If you are over 70, you don't need to close your eyes. Regimes that lose control of the narrative lose power soon after. The shift in American opinion about Vietnam brought down LBJ. *The Gulag Archipelago* **fatally** undermined the Soviet Union's historical and moral narrative. **Ayatollah** Khomeini's taped sermons brought down the U.S.-backed **Shah** of Iran.

例外证明了这一规律。当相反的证据进入公众意识时，影响可能是深远的。仅仅三张照片就改变了美国公众对越南战争的看法，这三张照片中有数千名美国士兵阵亡或战斗失利: 1968年一名南越将军朝一名囚犯头部开枪的照片，1970年玛丽 · 安 · 韦奇奥跪在肯特州立大学一名同学尸体旁的照片，以及1972年一名裸体女孩逃离凝固汽油弹的照片。如果你超过50岁，你可能只要闭上眼睛就能回忆起这些画面。如果你超过70岁，你不需要闭上眼睛。失去叙事控制的政权很快就会失去权力。美国对越南态度的转变使约翰逊下台。古拉格群岛严重破坏了苏联的历史和道德叙事。阿亚图拉霍梅尼的录音布道把美国支持的伊朗国王赶下了台。

All governments seek to shape the narrative. Vietnam was called the "living room war" because media, especially television, brought it home in ways that **newsreels** never did during World War II or the Korean War. The lesson the U.S. military took from the experience was a simple one: It had to regain control of the narrative. It implemented a system of "embedding" journalists within military units, which, of course, meant what the journalists saw and how it was presented to them fell largely under government control. **Embedding** put the **genie** back in the bottle for a generation. But social media, especially the short-form video format **popularized** by TikTok, has shattered the bottle.

所有国家的政府都试图塑造这种叙事。越南战争之所以被称为"客厅战争"，是因为媒体，尤其是电视，以新闻影片在二战或朝鲜战争期间从未有过的方式把它带回了家。美国军方从这次经历中得到的教训很简单: 它必须重新获得叙事的控制权。它实行了一种将记者"嵌入"军事单位的制度，这当然意味着记者所看到的和向他们呈现的内容在很大程度上受到政府的控制。嵌入把妖怪放回瓶子里一代人。但社交媒体，尤其是 TikTok 推广的短视频格式，已经打碎了瓶子。

The Mobile Screen War

The Mobile Screen War 手机屏幕大战

Young Americans spend at least 10% of their waking hours on TikTok, and 76% of 18- to 24-year-old Americans are TikTok users, compared to 7% of Americans 65 and older. That's time they are *not* spending watching CNN or reading the *Wall Street Journal*. And on TikTok, the scale and reach of pro-Palestine content dramatically outweighs pro-Israel content. As

TikTok 用户，而65岁及以上的美国人中只有7% 。这些时间他们不会花在看 CNN 或者阅读华尔街日报上。在 TikTok 上，亲巴勒斯坦内容的规模和影响力远远超过了亲以色列内容。截至本周，标记为“与巴勒斯坦站在一起”的视频点击量是标记为“与以色列站在一起”的视频点击量的10倍以上，分别为3.24亿和34亿。一名 TikTok 用户报告称，一旦他开始参与此类帖子，他的视频流就变成了狂热的反以色列，该平台上的犹太创作者报告称骚扰行为正在升级。



Cause / Effect

因果关系

This is cause *and* effect. Young Americans (see above) are already drifting away from the attitudes of their parents' generation (my generation) toward the conflict. Young Americans are more diverse than older Americans, and presumably more sympathetic to non-white groups such as Palestinians. And if mostly what you know about Israel-Palestine is Gaza post-2006 and **bulldozed** houses in the West Bank, your views are likely going to be different from those of someone whose **frame of reference** includes the Yom Kippur War and Munich. Young people are more prone to make pro-Palestinian content, more prone to consume it, and the wheel turns.

这是因果关系。年轻的美国人(见上图)已经远离了他们父辈(我这一代)对冲突的态度。年轻的美国人比年长的美国人更加多元化，而且大概更加同情非白人群体，比如巴勒斯坦人。如果你对以色列-巴勒斯坦的了解主要集中在2006年后的加沙地带和约旦河西岸被推土机推倒的房屋，那么你的观点很可能与那些参照系包括赎罪日战争和慕尼黑事件的人不同。年轻人更倾向于制作支持巴勒斯坦的内容，更倾向于消费这些内容，于是车轮就转动了。

Accuracy Is Incidental

准确性是偶然的

Access to more viewpoints and more sources of information is a good thing on balance. **Despots** should not be allowed to disappear their people any more than democracies should be able to **firebomb** someone else's, and the **unflinching** testimony of a livestream can help stop them. But an **unbounded** information landscape is *not* an unalloyed good. Because social media does not favor accuracy or balance or diversity. It favors clicks. The more engaging and **enraging** the content, the more clicks it receives. Is the image I get of Gaza on



样性。它有利于点击。内容越吸引人、越激怒人，点击率就越高。我在 TikTok 上看到的加沙形象是否比我在 CNN 上看到的更准确或真实？在某些情况下，是的。但在社交媒体上，准确性是偶然的。我认为，这带来了两大风险。

Where We Spend Our Time

我们把时间花在哪里

Sam Harris said you become where you spend your time. We were discussing Twitter on my podcast — I was addicted to it at the time, and I had noticed I'd become more **curt**, **venal**, and reactionary. Also, I was having thoughts in 140 characters (no joke). He pointed out that humans are more influenced by our environments than we'd like to think. If I spend 5% of my waking hours getting angry on Twitter, I become a 5% angrier individual. Same is true if I spend more time with my kids expressing and receiving love. We become where we spend our time. (Side note: I am no longer using Twitter.) (Another **side note**: still angry and **curt**.)

山姆 · 哈里斯说你会成为你消磨时间的地方。我们在我的播客上讨论 Twitter ——当时我沉迷于它，我注意到自己变得更加草率、唯利是图和反动。而且，我的思想只有140个字符(不是开玩笑)。他指出，人类受环境的影响比我们想象的要大。如果我醒着的时候有5% 的时间在 Twitter 上发脾气，我就会变成一个更加愤怒的人。如果我花更多的时间和我的孩子在一起，表达和接受爱，也是同样的道理。我们成为我们消磨时间的地方。(旁注: 我已经不再使用 Twitter 了。)(另一个附注: 仍然愤怒而草率。)

Just as my addiction to Twitter made me more like what I consumed there — **sputtering** angry hot takes — spending time on the TikTok of #standwith___ has a predictable effect. The conflict, generations old and **woven** into the fabric of global politics, is reduced to suffering, anger, and violence. There is a good side and a bad side. **Instinctive tribalism** kicks in, and young people walk through Washington Square Park with images of the Star of David in the trash, and a 6-year-old Muslim boy is stabbed by his landlord. Social media algorithms identify our politics and then **shepherd** us into a **hermetically** sealed bubble, framing our worldview through a window of rage and extremism.

就像我对 Twitter 的上瘾让我更像我在那里消费的东西一样——在 TikTok 上花时间 # standwith _ _ 有一个可以预见的效果。几代人之前的冲突已经融入了全球政治的结构，现在已经沦为苦难、愤怒和暴力。有好的一面，也有坏的一面。本能的部落主义开始发挥作用，年轻人走过华盛顿广场公园，在垃圾堆里放着大卫之星的图像，一个6岁的穆斯林男孩被他的房东刺伤。社交媒体算法识别我们的政治倾向，然后引导我们进入一个密封的泡沫，通过愤怒和极端主义的窗口框定我们的世界观。

CCP(roblem)

CCP (问题)

We know the first risk is real, and it's playing out — you can see it on your phone and in the street. Our discourse is more **coarse**, our focus increasingly on what divides us. The second risk is more **insidious**, visible only in outline. But those outlines are coming into sharp relief. There is a **nonzero** probability that TikTok is being manipulated and leveraged by the CCP to **sow** division in America. That probability is high. It's what we would do and have done. In the **Cold War**, both the United States and the Soviet Union engaged in a variety of **covert** actions aimed at **fomenting** internal **strife**. Radio Free Europe, a CIA-backed initiative, broadcast pro-democracy messaging into the Eastern **Bloc** to encourage **dissent**. During

话语更加粗糙，我们越来越关注分裂我们的东西。第二个风险更为隐蔽，只是大致可见。但是，这些轮廓正变得清晰起来。TikTok 被 CCP 操纵和利用，在美国播下分裂种子的可能性非零。这种可能性很高。这就是我们会做的和已经做的。在冷战期间，美国和苏联都从事了各种旨在煽动内部冲突的秘密行动。美国中央情报局支持的自由欧洲电台向东欧集团广播支持民主的信息，鼓励持不同政见者。在第二次世界大战期间，纳粹德国向美国军队散发传单，强调美国的种族不公正，希望挫败军队士气，煽动种族紧张关系。每个国家都已经或正在积极地这样做。直到今天，美国自己也在继续推行这样的战术。美国陆军第四心理行动小组的自我描述如下:

> PSYOP Forces are Masters of Influence — the core of information warfare. We conduct influence activities to target psychological vulnerabilities and create or intensify fissures, confusion, and doubt in adversary organizations. We use all available means of dissemination — from sensitive and high-tech, to low-tech, to no-tech, and methods from overt, to clandestine, to deception.
>
> —U.S. Army Special Operations Command

The CCP has control over the most powerful, yet elegant, weapon in the history of propaganda, and the default position is they (i.e., the CCP) are *not* using it? I have stated this view before. China cannot beat us kinetically or economically, but it can beat us by tearing us apart from the inside. TikTok, in my view, has the potential effect of several carrier strike forces. A 21st century **Trojan** Horse that also generates $100 billion in annual revenue. I was at the White House this week for an AI Summit. Government officials are not allowed to be on TikTok for security reasons. This comes at a cost, as I believe they'd be more alarmed at the **skew** of information.

中国共产党控制着宣传史上最强大、最优雅的武器，而默认的立场是他们(即中国共产党)没有使用它？我以前就说过这个观点。中国无法在动力上或经济上击败我们，但它可以通过从内部撕裂我们来击败我们。在我看来，TikTok 具有几个航母打击力量的潜在影响。一个21世纪的特洛伊木马，也产生了1000亿美元的年收入。本周我在白宫参加了一个人工智能峰会。出于安全原因，政府官员不允许使用 TikTok。这是有代价的，因为我相信他们会对信息的扭曲更加警觉。

It's a common misconception that propaganda is like advertising: a **barrage** of messaging favoring one side or attacking another. Uncle Sam telling you to buy war bonds or black-and-white movies of **Aryan** youth **saluting** the **swastika**. That's not how China would use (is using) TikTok. The more elegant strategy is to **atomize** the enemy (us). Find small differences of opinion and **broaden** them. **Carve** off slices of support for a long-time ally, one demographic group at a time. The Nazi **propagandist** Goebbels wrote that the purpose of propaganda is to generate "**volcanic** passions, **outbreaks** of rage, to set masses of people on the march, to organize hatred and despair with ice-cold calculation."

有一种常见的误解，认为宣传就像广告: 一连串的信息支持一方或攻击另一方。山姆大叔告诉你要买战争债券或者雅利安青年向纳粹党徽致敬的黑白电影。这不是中国使用(正在使用) TikTok 的方式。更巧妙的策略是粉碎敌人(我们)。发现小的意见分歧并扩大它们。为一个长

propaganda and ideological work. Many people, especially young people, do not read mainstream media and get most of their information from the Internet. We must face up to this fact, increase investment, and **seize** the initiative on this battlefield of public opinion **as soon as** possible. [We must] become experts in using new means and methods of modern media." ByteDance employees have confirmed the CCP has backdoor access to American TikTok user data, which it has used several times. In addition, the CCP has refused to let TikTok's parent company ByteDance **go public** for national security reasons. FBI officials have themselves stated TikTok could be used as an "aggressive weapon" against the U.S. and China's enemies at large. In sum, the CCP's manipulation of TikTok is hiding in plain sight.

习近平将互联网描述为"舆论战的主战场"，并在2013年表示，"网络舆论工作应作为宣传和意识形态工作的重中之重。"。许多人，尤其是年轻人，不阅读主流媒体，他们的大部分信息来自互联网。我们必须正视这一事实，加大投入，尽快在这个舆论战场上掌握主动权。(我们必须)成为运用现代媒体新手段和新方法的专家。"字节跳动的员工证实，中共拥有访问美国 TikTok 用户数据的后门权限，该机构曾多次使用这些数据。此外，中共以国家安全为由，拒绝让 TikTok 的母公司字节跳动(ByteDance)上市。美国联邦调查局(FBI)官员自己也表示，TikTok 可能被用作针对美国和中国敌人的"侵略性武器"。总之，中共对 TikTok 的操纵是显而易见的。

Oppenheimer Moment

奥本海默时刻

The CCP's Oppenheimer moment was the fusion of TikTok and October 7. Or maybe I'm being paranoid and am out of touch with a generation reacting to an Israel that has **veered** rightward. Maybe an increasingly non-white population has an easier time recognizing oppression. Or maybe we live in a social media era in which views are **ushered** to their most extreme on their own without interference from state actors.

中共的奥本海默时刻是 TikTok 和10月7日的融合。或者我可能是偏执狂，脱离了对以色列转向右翼做出反应的一代人。也许越来越多的非白人人口更容易认识到压迫。又或者，我们生活在一个社交媒体时代，在这个时代，观点可以自行发挥到极致，不受国家行为者的干涉。

Maybe.

也许吧。

Life is so rich,

生活是如此丰富多彩，

SCOTT

P.S. This week on Prof G Markets we discussed Meta's monster quarter and the U.S. deficit. Listen here. (Or watch.)

附注: 本周在" g 教授市场"节目中，我们讨论了 Meta 公司的巨额季度业绩和美国财政赤字

P.P.S. Section's AI Mini-MBA is now open to all time zones. In four weeks, you'll learn how to build an AI strategy for your business and understand the AI landscape. Register here and start in January.

Comments
评论

81 Comments

81条评论

Post a Comment

发表评论

NAME 姓名

Name, surname

EMAIL 电子邮件

Email Address

COMMENT 评论

Your comment

Submit



4 hours ago at 4:48 pm 4小时前下午4:48

Multiple things can be true at once. Social media has and does **sow** division. The lives lost and trauma **inflicted** on the Israeli people on Oct 7 should have never happened and are absolutely deserving of a powerful response. The destruction along the Gaza Strip and parts of the West Bank, and the lives lost and **displaced** is **unjustifiable**.

很多事情可以同时发生。社交媒体已经并且确实播下了分歧的种子。10月7日给以色列人民造成的生命损失和创伤本不应该发生，绝对应该得到有力的回应。沿加沙地带和西岸部分地区的破坏以及生命的丧失和流离失所是没有道理的。

In every conflict there will always be people who take extreme positions. Typically these positions are reserved for those that are emotionally connected to the conflict and/or the uneducated who are just looking for a team. But there always needs to be an adult in the room, who recognizes when things get out of hand and puts a stop to it. And it's up to the rest of us to encourage this to happen.

在每一场冲突中，总会有人采取极端立场。这些职位通常是为那些与冲突有情感联系的人和/或没有受过教育的人保留的，他们只是在寻找一个团队。但是在这个房间里总是需要一个成年人，当事情失去控制的时候，他能够意识到并且阻止它。我们其他人应该鼓励这种情况的发生。

It's interesting the examples used in this piece. WWII and Japan. Vietnam. Those were some of the worst **atrocities** in human history. Iraq and Afghanistan draw comparable comparisons for the destruction and death of thousands (if not millions).

这篇文章中使用的例子很有趣。二战与日本。越南。这些是人类历史上最严重的暴行。伊拉克和阿富汗在数千人(如果不是数百万人)的毁灭和死亡方面进行了可比较的比较。

If we desire to never repeat these **atrocities** again, then we all need to play our part, weed through the propaganda, and not doubt that feeling in our gut that something is wrong.

如果我们希望永远不再重复这些暴行，那么我们都需要发挥自己的作用，清除宣传，不要怀疑我们内心的感觉，有些事情是错误的。

NO MERCY/NO MALICE

Subscribe 订阅 Listen 听着

older generations have been raised on a steady diet of Palestinian/Arab **dehumanization**. "Maybe an increasingly non-white population has an easier time recognizing oppression." — this is it.

多么被动和偏执的观点。JFC-你要把这比作德累斯顿吗？围绕以色列的1984年式的扭曲场正在破裂。种族隔离和种族清洗只能在众目睽睽之下持续这么长时间而不被人们意识到。而且，老一辈人是在巴勒斯坦人/阿拉伯人非人化的环境中长大的。"也许越来越多的非白人人口更容易认识到压迫。"—就是这个。

Reply 回复

9 hours ago at 11:48 am 9小时前上午11:48

A couple of other commentators have referenced 9/11 but given a focus of your post (media and it's influence on the population's PoV of the horrors of war / violence) I'm surprised you didn't write more about how that day and **ensuing** events influenced, if not defined, people who are 25 and older. I don't think it's a coincidence that the protests or support in cities or universities is by a generation that didn't live through that event. Millions of people experienced the terrorism of 9/11 in real time on the television. It was a shared trauma.

一些其他的评论家也提到了911，但是给出了你文章的重点(媒体和它对人们对战争/暴力的恐惧的观点的影响)我很惊讶你没有写更多关于那一天和接下来的事件如何影响，如果没有定义的话，25岁以上的人。我不认为城市或大学里的抗议和支持是由没有经历过那次事件的一代人发起的，这是一个巧合。数百万人在电视上实时经历了9/11的恐怖袭击。这是共同的创伤。

The US military **incursions** that followed in both Afghanistan and Iraq killed tens of thousands more people than the current Israeli-Hamas war and while there were protests, they were very different in size and scale.

美国随后在阿富汗和伊拉克发动的军事入侵，造成的死亡人数比当前以色列-哈马斯战争造成的死亡人数多出数万人。虽然有抗议活动，但规模和规模都大不相同。

Reply 回复

9 hours ago at 11:20 am 9小时前上午11:20

The crimes of Israel have been even more exposed. That is the glory of Information Era.
Elders **point of view** is based upon weak and biased media of theis times. Now we have a conterpart easily acessible.

以色列的罪行更加暴露无遗。这就是信息时代的光荣。长者的观点是基于这个时代的弱小和有偏见的媒体。现在我们有一个容易获得的对应方。

Reply 回复

14 hours ago at 6:23 am 14小时前早上6:23

Hi Scott, wonderful article… a young person here. To answer your question, it's hard to believe that this is chinas manipulation of TikTok when you have Israeli government officials going on live interviews calling Palestinians dogs and that they all deserve to die. No manipulation, we can see oppression and hatred because it's being showed by the Israeli Goverment Bombing what is basically an open air prison filled of Palestinian people with no army. It's hard to manipulate what is clearly wrong and a very clear attempted at genocide.

你好，斯科特，这篇文章很棒… … 这里有个年轻人。回答你的问题，很难相信这是中国操纵 TikTok，因为以色列政府官员正在接受直播采访，称巴勒斯坦人是狗，他们都该死。没有操纵，我们可以看到压迫和仇恨，因为以色列政府的轰炸表明，这基本上是一个露天监狱，充满了没有军队的巴勒斯坦人。很难操纵那些明显错误的东西，也很难操纵一个非常明显的种族灭绝企图。

Reply 回复

12 hours ago at 8:35 am 12小时前上午8:35

They called Hamas dogs. Not palestinians. The media clipped that part out

他们称哈马斯为走狗，而不是巴勒斯坦人。媒体删除了这一部分

Reply 回复

2 hours ago at 6:19 pm 2小时前下午6:19

The Hamas terrorists never once **boasted** about killing Israeli's, they **boasted** about killing Jews. Is **ethnic cleansing** acceptable depending on who is doing the cleansing and who is being **cleansed**? Seems when Hamas wants to **cleanse** Israel and the world of Jews those are **casualties** that can be lived with by the 51%. People are so quick to distinguish between the people of Gaza and Hamas but if there is a difference why are the people of Gaza not turning on Hamas? Ask any Palestinian what it was like to live in Gaza prior to 2006. People lived in peace and got along. The economy was growing, and there was no hatred. The only thing that has changed is that once Israel withdrew Hamas took over and declared war. Do the math.

哈马斯恐怖分子从来没有吹嘘过杀害以色列人，他们吹嘘的是杀害犹太人。种族清洗是否可以接受取决于谁在进行净化和谁在被净化？当哈马斯想要清洗以色列和世界上的犹太人时，那51% 的人可以忍受这些伤亡。人们很容易区分加沙人民和哈马斯，但如果两者有区别，为什么加沙人民不反对哈马斯呢？问问任何一个巴勒斯坦人2006年之前在加沙

Another well written post that gives insight into some of the winds of change across generations. Coming from the UK I believe that the younger generations are not prepared to give Israel a Hall Pass, turn the other way and ignore what is happening in plain sight I.e the sight of a suppressed population's situation made more hopeless with no food, shelter or ability to make themselves or their families safe. Given that we have evolved and become more **sophisticated** is this level of destruction and death of women and children **justifiable**? This feels like a powerful short-term **reactive** approach to a **heinous** event. What happens after the war? Who will rebuild Gaza, stop the suffering and make their people whole? How will the Palestinians forgive Israel and peace be achieved? Do the Israelis have a plan or are they expecting the rest of the world to pick up the pieces and **tidy** up after them?

另一篇写得很好的文章让我们深入了解了几代人之间的变化之风。我来自英国，我相信年轻一代还没有准备好给以色列一个通行证，转向其他方向，忽视眼前正在发生的事情。也就是说，看到被压迫的人民处境更加绝望，没有食物、住所或能力使他们自己或他们的家人安全。鉴于我们已经进化并变得更加成熟，这种程度的破坏和妇女儿童的死亡是否合理？这感觉像是对一起令人发指的事件采取的一种强有力的短期应对措施。战后会发生什么？谁将重建加沙，停止苦难，使他们的人民完整？巴勒斯坦人将如何原谅以色列并实现和平？以色列人有计划吗？还是他们希望世界其他国家收拾残局，收拾残局？

Reply 回复

17 hours ago at 4:03 am 17小时前凌晨4:03

Thank you for your words Scott. As always you are not afraid to speak up and say your thoughts. As an Israeli, I wish for peace. Oct 7th was a horrific wake-up call to violent beasts **butchering** and taking hostage innocent people, babies, elders, and families. I invite each of you to imagine what would you do in a situation like this. It's a lose-lose situation, heartbreaking, and we still have 240 hostages including babies, kids, women, and elders in Gaza. The world should speak up and say this is not acceptable! It's Saturday morning for us, you made me cry (it's **amazing** that we still have tears left after a month). Thank you and hope everyone will stay safe and stop this madness in the world.

谢谢你的话，斯科特。像往常一样，你不害怕说出你的想法。作为一个以色列人，我希望和平。10月7日是一个可怕的警钟，暴力野兽屠杀和劫持人质无辜的人，婴儿，老人和家庭。我邀请你们每个人想象一下，在这种情况下你们会怎么做。这是一个双输的局面，令人心碎，在加沙我们仍然有240名人质，包括婴儿、儿童、妇女和老人。全世界都应该站出来说这是不可接受的！对我们来说，这是个周六的早晨，你让我哭了(一个月后我们还有眼泪，这真是太神奇了)。谢谢大家，希望大家平安无事，停止这种疯狂的行为。

Reply 回复

18 hours ago at 2:31 am 18小时前凌晨2:31

Does anyone else see a parallel to the U.S. where it was the Cowboys or **Calvary** vs. the Indians? Same **atrocities**, massacres, and propaganda and in the end, the one with the bigger army and better weapons won. In hindsight can you really say the Indians were the bad guys? U.S. policies never really changes. Money and power makes right – everyone or thing is **collateral damage**.

有没有人看到一个类似的美国，在那里是牛仔队或加略山与印第安人？同样的暴行、屠杀和宣传，最终，拥有更强大军队和更好武器的国家获胜。事后看来，你真的能说印度人是坏人吗？美国的政策从来没有真正改变过。金钱和权力决定正确——每个人或每件事都是附带损害。

Reply 回复

20 hours ago at 12:50 am 20小时前凌晨12:50

Hi Scott,

嗨，斯科特，

I don't disagree with the connections that you highlight in this **newsletter**. I would however like to point out that there is a difference between a manipulated survey result asking if the Oct-7 attacks "can be justified by…" and the more rational question as to whether there is a direct 'cause and effect' relationship between Israeli policy toward Palestinian interests and the resulting **dissent** (violent or otherwise) from that population. That difference in the question potentially removes the apparent approval of violence and **refocuses** on **root cause**.

我并不反对你在这份简报中强调的联系。然而，我想指出的是，被操纵的调查结果——询问10月7日的袭击是否"可以… … 作为正当理由"，与更为理性的问题——以色列对巴勒斯坦利益的政策与巴勒斯坦人民由此产生的异议(暴力或其他)之间是否存在直接的"因果"关系——之间存在着差异。问题上的这种差异可能会消除对暴力的明显赞同，并重新关注根本原因。

This **newsletter** was focused on the propaganda "war" that surrounds real wars, but propaganda is most effective when it leverages genuine **discontent** in public opinion. Understanding the true source of that **discontent** is important for the **propagandists** (CCP, CIA, **PSYOP**, etc) as well the commentators (ProfG).

这份通讯的重点是围绕真正战争的宣传"战争"，但宣传最有效的方式是利用公众舆论中真正的不满情绪。理解不满的真正来源对于宣传者(CCP，CIA，PSYOP 等)和评论者(ProfG)都很重要。

You are a very smart/savvy **marketer**, so I can understand why you want to avoid controversial conclusions in you **newsletter** (and you did so **brilliantly**), but I think there is an opportunity to propose thoughtful and unbiased questions without taking an overly controversial position.

你是一个非常聪明/精明的市场营销人员，所以我能理解为什么你想避免在你的新闻邮件中得出有争议的结论(你做得非常出色)，但是我认为这是一个提出经过深思熟虑的、没有偏见的问题的机会，而不是采取过于有争议的立场。

Appreciate your approach and always enjoy the reading your material.

20 hours ago at 12:32 am 20小时前凌晨12:32

clearly academia failed as kids celebrate **atrocities**

显然，学术界在孩子们庆祝暴行时失败了

Reply 回复

20 hours ago at 12:28 am 20小时前凌晨12:28

The bombing of Dresden served no strategic purpose and civilian **casualties** may have been as high as 50,000-80,000+ due to the number of **refugees** from the East that were in the city at the time. It was a **war crime**. But war crimes don't matter when you're the victor and can control the narrative.
There can be no justification for Hamas' attack on Israeli civilians, there can also be no justification for 10,000+ Palestinian civilian victims **in the name of** "Israeli Self-defense". Cutting off food, water, and electricity to an area with 2 million civilians is also a **war crime**. (Think **Warsaw** and Stalingrad from WW2).
Despite what many talking heads would have us believe, criticism of Israel is not Antisemitic.
Our Allies need to be held to the same standards as non-allies if we wish to have a **modicum** of credibility in the World.

德累斯顿轰炸没有任何战略意义，平民伤亡人数可能高达5万至8万人以上，原因是当时有大量来自东部的难民滞留在该市。这是战争罪。但是战争罪行并不重要，只要你是胜利者，你就可以控制整个故事。哈马斯攻击以色列平民是没有道理的，以"以色列自卫"的名义造成10000多名巴勒斯坦平民受害也是没有道理的。在一个有200万平民的地区切断食物、水和电也是一种战争罪。(想想二战中的华沙和斯大林格勒)。不管许多发言人让我们相信什么，对以色列的批评并不是反犹太的。如果我们希望在世界上拥有一点点信誉，我们的盟国需要与非盟国遵守同样的标准。

Reply 回复



23 hours ago at 9:26 pm 23小时前晚上9:26

Professor—all else aside, the Harvard Harris Poll cited contains many seemingly contradictory answers, likely because of **ambiguously**-worded questions. Yes, 51% of aged 18-to-24 **respondents** said Hamas's attack "can be justified"—but that same age group had the *highest* share of those who would "refuse to hire" law students who supported the attacks on Israeli civilians. Roughly two-thirds (65%) of 18-to-24 **respondents** said "Hamas is a terror group that rules the people of Gaza with fear and is not supported by them," and again, 65% supported Israeli retaliation against Hamas… A sweeping conclusion about the younger generation's mindset on this topic may not be warranted. And if, indeed, they approach complex issues with broader empathy and a higher degree of **nuance**, perhaps that should be **commended**!

除此之外，哈佛大学哈里斯民意调查引用了许多看似矛盾的答案，可能是因为措辞模糊的问题。是的，在18岁至24岁的受访者中，有51% 的人认为哈马斯的袭击"是合理的"，但同一年龄段的受访者中，"拒绝雇佣"支持袭击以色列平民的法律专业学生的比例"最高"。在18到24岁的受访者中，大约有三分之二(65%)的人认为"哈马斯是一个恐怖组织，它恐惧地统治着加沙地带的人民，并且得不到他们的支持。"同样，65% 的人支持以色列对哈马斯进行报复... ... 关于年轻一代在这个问题上的心态的笼统结论可能没有根据。事实上，如果他们以更广泛的同理心和更高程度的细微差别来处理复杂的问题，也许这应该受到赞扬！

Reply 回复

23 hours ago at 9:21 pm 23小时前晚上9:21

Wait, so after more than 40 years of a one sided politically controlled narrative behind which America has funded more military aid than anywhere else on earth, there is an inevitable backlash and your answer is that the PRC is behind it so let's **get rid of** tiktok?

等等，所以在四十多年的一边倒的政治控制叙事之后美国比世界上其他任何地方都资助了更多的军事援助，这不可避免地会引起反弹，你的回答是中华人民共和国是幕后黑手所以让我们摆脱 tiktok?

Reply 回复

8 hours ago at 12:53 pm 8小时前下午12:53

I don't think Galloway is trying to resolve the long-standing historical and current **atrocities**. I believe he is trying to put a point on how we here in the US are ripping ourselves apart in taking sides in the **atrocities**. I think it's a very valid point that CCP (and why not others) are using **Tic** Toc to seed **discontent** in our youth and society for the **incendiary** effect, not for supporting the Palestine **point of view**.

我不认为加洛韦试图解决长期存在的历史和当前的暴行。我相信他是在试图说明我们美国人在暴行中偏袒一方是如何撕裂我们自己的。我认为 CCP (以及其他党派)利用 **Tic** Toc 在我们的年轻人和社会中播下不满的种子是为了煽风点火，而不是为了支持巴勒斯坦的观点。

Reply 回复

23 hours ago at 9:07 pm 23小时前晚上9:07

Reply 回复

7 hours ago at 1:49 pm 7小时前下午1:49

This is a great point and is very much misunderstood in US media/social (on the right and the left). This may be a stretch, but I suspect the perception of Israel as wholly Euro/white was instrumental in establishing affinity in the US (i.e., the Judeo-Christian values that all the wingnuts), and now it is detrimental. The truth is very inconvenient sometimes …

这是一个伟大的观点，但是在美国媒体/社会(左翼和右翼)中却被严重误解。这可能有点牵强，但是我怀疑以色列完全是欧洲/白人的观念对于在美国建立亲和力是有帮助的(也就是说，犹太-基督教的价值观是所有人都疯狂的)，现在这种观念是有害的。真相有时候很不方便..。

Reply 回复

4 hours ago at 4:48 pm 4小时前下午4:48

Plus, the Euro vs. non-Euro ethnicity **intermarriage** rate is fairly high. Before the century is over, they'll probably be mostly brown.

此外，欧洲与非欧洲种族通婚率相当高。在本世纪结束之前，它们可能大部分是棕色的。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 6:40 pm 一天前下午6时40分

Scott, thank you for an in depth and insightful article on an **overwhelming** complex situation. The history you provided helped me to see the current situation through a different lens. I appreciate you and the work you do as it consistently opens my mind to new ways of thinking.

斯科特，谢谢你关于一个极其复杂的情况的深入和有见地的文章。你提供的历史帮助我从一个不同的角度看待当前的形势。我感谢你和你所做的工作，因为它不断地开拓我的思维，让我有新的思维方式。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 6:32 pm 一天前下午6时32分

DSome of your post recently have one my respect. This one not so. I am 80 years old, and have never seen a TikTok video , and prefer to read whatever news I get. The actions of Isreal for the last few decades have created Hamas , which cannot justify the massacre of 1400 people , mostly civilians , by Hamas. The bombing of Gaza has killed until now more the 9000 Palestinians , more than half of which were woman and children. These facts do not depend on videos , and are not influenced by the CCP . The relatives of those victims who survive will become terrorists with nothing to lose, like Hamas. Hopefully Isreal does not come upon the "final solution" to solve this problem , with no easy solution.

你最近的一些帖子让我很敬佩。这个不是这样。我已经80岁了，从来没有看过 TikTok 上的视频，我更喜欢看我得到的任何新闻。Isreal 在过去几十年的行动造就了哈马斯，它不能为哈马斯屠杀1400人(其中大部分是平民)辩护。对加沙的轰炸至今已造成9000多名巴勒斯坦人死亡，其中一半以上是妇女和儿童。这些事实不依赖于视频，也不受党的影响。那些幸存下来的受害者的亲属将成为恐怖分子，就像哈马斯一样，没有什么可失去的。希望 Isreal 不会拿出"最终解决方案"来解决这个问题，因为没有容易的解决方案。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 6:59 pm 一天前下午6:59

Correction of fat finger mistakes : Some of you recent posts have won my respect. Not this one. I am 80 years old, and have never seen a TikTok video , and get my information by sceptically reading various online websites. The actions of Israel over decades have created , and **nourished** Hamas , which still of course cannot justfy the recent Hamas massacres . The bombing of Gaza has, until now , killed more tha 9000 palestinians, half of whom were innocent children and women. Thes facts are readily available, and are not influenced by the CCP. The relatives of these victims who survive will become terrorists with nothing to lose. just like Hamas. Hopefully Israel does not come upon the "final solution" to solve this problem , which has no easy solution.

纠正胖手指错误: 你最近的一些帖子赢得了我的尊重。不是这个。我已经80岁了，从来没有看过 TikTok 的视频，我是通过怀疑地浏览各种在线网站来获取信息的。以色列几十年来的行动造就并滋养了哈马斯，而哈马斯当然仍然无法为最近的哈马斯大屠杀辩护。到目前为止，对加沙的轰炸已造成9000多名巴勒斯坦人死亡，其中一半是无辜的儿童和妇女。这些事实是现成的，不受党的影响。这些幸存者的亲属将成为没有什么可失去的恐怖分子。就像哈马斯。希望以色列不会找到解决这个问题的"最终解决方案"，因为这个问题没有简单的解决办法。

Reply 回复

23 hours ago at 9:22 pm 23小时前晚上9:22

14 hours ago at 6:29 am 14小时前早上6:29

You can't compare Hamas to ISIS. Hamas was created under the Israeli occupation and is only concentrated within the Gaza Strip, its sole purpose is to fight against oppression. It has nothing to do with religion. While isis is a religious extremist group. They are not the same.

你不能把哈马斯和 ISIS 相提并论。哈马斯是在以色列占领下建立的，只集中在加沙地带，其唯一目的是反对压迫。这与宗教无关。而 isis 是一个宗教极端组织。它们是不同的。

Reply 回复

14 hours ago at 6:29 am 14小时前早上6:29

You can't compare Hamas to ISIS. Hamas was created under the Israeli occupation and is only concentrated within the Gaza Strip, its sole purpose is to fight against oppression. It has nothing to do with religion. While isis is a religious extremist group. They are not the same. However, one can understand the confusion since the media has blurred those lines for you.

你不能把哈马斯和 ISIS 相提并论。哈马斯是在以色列占领下建立的，只集中在加沙地带，其唯一目的是反对压迫。这与宗教无关。而 isis 是一个宗教极端组织。它们是不同的。然而，你可以理解这种困惑，因为媒体已经为你模糊了这些界限。

Reply 回复

11 hours ago at 10:02 am 11小时前上午10:02

Fatah/PLO pursued a political solution, Israel didn't want a solution seeking **expansionism** over defining it's borders. Israel encouraged the creation of Hamas to **weaken** Fatah/PLO and a possible agreement. So Israel did have a hand in their creation.

法塔赫/巴勒斯坦解放组织寻求政治解决方案，以色列不希望解决方案寻求扩张主义超过划定其边界。以色列鼓励建立哈马斯，以削弱法塔赫/巴解组织，并可能达成协议。所以以色列确实参与了他们的创造。

Reply 回复

23 hours ago at 9:22 pm 23小时前晚上9:22

Hey – To blame Israel of making Hamas is like blaming USA for making ISIS and UK for making nazis …. Israel is not pure justice- but it makes no sense to blame a democracy – to create a monster that rape / kills kids. This is a little overstretched….

嘿-指责以色列制造哈马斯就像指责美国制造伊斯兰国和英国制造纳粹一样..。以色列不是纯粹的正义——但指责民主政体制造一个强奸/杀害儿童的怪物是没有道理的。这有点超负荷了。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 5:35 pm 一天前下午5:35

Spot-on. 50 years from now I'll bet Steve Jobs is viewed as an enemy of civilization as nobody accelerated 'atomism' like he has. Tik-Tok should really just be outlawed in the US. It's just cancer, and we fight that like hell, don't we?

完全正确。50年后，我敢打赌史蒂夫·乔布斯被视为文明的敌人，因为没有人像他那样加速了"原子论"的发展。Tik-Tok 真的应该在美国被取缔。只是癌症而已，我们拼命地抗争，不是吗？

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 5:25 pm 一天前下午5:25

Anti Israel is not anti semitism – repeat five times

反以色列不是反犹太主义——重复五遍

Reply 回复

20 hours ago at 12:34 am 20小时前凌晨12:34

I'll tell this bs to crowd, when they try to kill me. bet it will work

当他们想杀我的时候，我会告诉这些人。我打赌这会起作用

没错，这就是偏转。这是故意的。显然，反对以色列的犹太复国主义殖民主义和巴勒斯坦的种族隔离并不是反犹太主义。此外，亲巴勒斯坦并不是站在哈马斯一边。

Reply 回复

4 hours ago at 4:53 pm 4小时前下午4:53

Discrimination on the basis of nationality is still **afoul** of Civil Rights law, and just plain unrespectable bigotry.

基于国籍的歧视仍然与民权法相冲突，只不过是一种不受尊重的偏见。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 5:19 pm 一天前下午5:19

Wow, this is a silly take. I am **horrified** by what happened on Oct 7, I believe Hamas is worse for Gazans than Israelis (although it's pretty awful for Israelis too), and way too much criticism of Israel is driven by anti-Semitism (sometimes subtle, other times blatant). Still, Israel is definitely not without blame (historically and **presently**), the current government has some seriously despicable and racist elements and has zero interest in pursuing a reasonable and just outcome for Palestinians, and Israel's behavior in the West Bank is **unambiguously abhorrent**.

哇，这是一个愚蠢的采取。我对10月7日发生的事情感到震惊，我相信哈马斯对加沙人的影响比对以色列人的影响更糟糕(尽管对以色列人来说也很糟糕)，而且太多对以色列的批评是由反犹太主义驱动的(有时候很微妙，有时候很明显)。尽管如此，以色列绝对不是没有责任的(历史上和现在)，现任政府有一些严重的卑鄙和种族主义的因素，对于为巴勒斯坦人寻求一个合理和公正的结果毫无兴趣，以色列在约旦河西岸的行为无疑是令人憎恶的。

The notion that we must stand with Israel no matter what is as flawed as the **unhinged** river-to-the-sea chants currently playing on US campuses. The latter is only a few minutes old, while the former has been a rule of law for decades in the US, where Israel has stood alone as the **unrivaled** victor of our foreign policy narrative. Accuracy was as 'incidental' then as it is today on TikTok.

我们必须与以色列站在一起的观念，无论有什么缺陷，就像目前在美国校园里播放的精神错乱的河流入海口号一样。后者只有几分钟的历史，而前者在美国已经成为法治几十年了，在美国，以色列一直是我们外交政策叙事中无可匹敌的胜利者。当时的准确性和今天在 TikTok 上的准确性一样"偶然"。

Now that narrative has broken, the **pendulum** is swinging wildly the other way, which it *always* does when a forced narrative breaks. This build-up was happening with or without TikTok and it's reaching fever pitch there simply because that's what young people use.

现在，叙事已经中断，钟摆疯狂地向另一个方向摆动，当一个被迫的叙事中断时，钟摆"总是"这样摆动。不管有没有 TikTok，这种积累都在发生，它在那里达到了狂热的程度，仅仅是因为年轻人使用 TikTok。

Blaming this on China is the equivalent of yelling "get-off-my-lawn" to no one in particular. Or, taking lists of names of college students who are demonstrating. Wait, that's actually happening …

将此归咎于中国无异于对任何人喊"滚出我的草坪"。或者，列出参加示威的大学生的名单。等等，真的发生了..。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 5:59 pm 一天前下午5:59

spot on.

完全正确。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 7:08 pm 一天前下午7:08

Exactly, but he's NOT just blaming this on China, he is blaming this on Russia—through—China which is **convoluted** and weird. It's also insane that a **thinker** like Scott has to reach 'that' far to try to understand why younger people see the middle east and go "this is clearly not working, we've been doing the same exact thing for 60 years and it's never worked… why would we keep doing the same thing? Let's just undo this thing completely."

没错，但是他不仅仅是在指责中国，他是在指责俄罗斯——通过——中国，这是错综复杂和奇怪的。同样疯狂的是，像斯科特这样的思想家必须走得更远，才能试图理解为什么年轻人看到中东就会说: "这显然不行，我们60年来一直在做同样的事情，从来没有成功过... ... 我们为什么要一直做同样的事情？让我们彻底撤销这件事吧。"

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 4:10 pm 1天前下午4时10分

It is a really interesting article and so are the responses. I did post on my linked in that neither I nor most people I know in a position to hire in financial services will hire anyone who believes "From the river to the sea". It is **plainly** genocidal in intention. It is also really bad policy to enable the Palestinians to believe as they have since the 1920's that genocide will be a solution to their problems. It **plainly** hasn't worked to date and will likely not in the near future. I get the Tik Tok imbalance between the **atrocity**

问右倾斜是肯定的，但是以色列想要拥有加沙，就像我们想要拥有危地马拉一样。你于感有一个时间中心或酒场在那里你可以参观。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:56 pm 一天前下午3:56

I'm surprised that you are not more careful about the **interchangeable** use of the terms Israeli/Jewish, or Palestinian/Hamas. I think it perfectly reasonable to **abhor** anti-semitism and simultaneously **detest** the killing of innocent Palestinian civilians. Similarly, it is perfectly reasonable to **abhor** the killing of innocent Israeli citizens by the terrorist group Hamas – not Palestinians. I'm 78 and recall all those events; that does not make me **careless** about understanding a complex situation. Just because it is possible that Bibi has been **itching** for this opportunity to look powerful for a long time does not mean that the majority of Israelis agree with him.
I suspect the younger **cohort** know very well the difference.

我很惊讶你对以色列人/犹太人，或者巴勒斯坦人/哈马斯这些术语的互换使用不够谨慎。我认为，憎恶反犹太主义并同时憎恶杀害无辜的巴勒斯坦平民是完全合理的。同样，我们完全有理由憎恶恐怖组织哈马斯杀害无辜的以色列公民，而不是杀害巴勒斯坦人。我已经78岁了，能够回忆起所有这些事件，但这并不意味着我在理解一个复杂的情况时会粗心大意。仅仅因为比比可能长期以来一直渴望有这个机会显得强大，并不意味着大多数以色列人同意他的观点。我怀疑年轻一代非常清楚其中的区别。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 6:35 pm 一天前下午6:35

I am 80, and completely agree with your post. And I have never opened aTiktok video.

我已经80岁了，完全同意你的观点，而且我从来没有打开过 aTiktok 的视频。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:41 pm 一天前下午3:41

Scott, Israel has certainly leaned rightward over the past decade plus. However, I appreciate your balanced analysis, which has not been common. Of course, Hamas committed terrorist **atrocities** — and you can't justify it. But I think the key point to consider is how so many young Palestinian men can become so **dehumanized** they could kill innocent Israelis face-to-face. That is a lot of hatred and it doesn't spring from nowhere. Racial **animus** must certainly play a role but there are more important factors such high unemployment, poverty, **repression** and, of course, **hopelessness**. Those conditions provide Hamas a steady stream of recruits. Anyone trying to better understand this conflict should watch the documentary "Gaza" on Amazon's free ad-supported platform. Shot by two Irish journalists over several years, it screened at the 2019 Sundance film festival. The film **depicts traumatized** Gaza residents trying to live their lives under Israeli air strikes and the **blockade** in place since 2007. You also get a feel for how that life can **sow militancy**. And that is why Israel's military response and its clear disregard for Palestinian life will never bring lasting peace. It will only create more hatred among a people with whom it has to live **side by side**. Very sad indeed. We should thank TikTok and streaming TV for **broadening** our perspective.

斯科特，以色列在过去的十多年里确实向右倾斜了。不过，我很欣赏你的平衡分析，这并不常见。当然，哈马斯犯下了恐怖主义暴行——你不能为它辩护。但我认为要考虑的关键问题是，为什么这么多巴勒斯坦年轻人会变得如此没有人性，以至于他们可以当面杀害无辜的以色列人。这是很多的仇恨，它不是无中生有的。种族仇恨肯定起到了一定的作用，但还有一些更重要的因素，比如高失业率、贫穷、压迫，当然还有绝望。这些条件为哈马斯提供了源源不断的新兵。任何试图更好地理解这场冲突的人都应该在亚马逊的免费广告支持平台上观看纪录片《加沙》。该片由两名爱尔兰记者拍摄了数年，在2019年圣丹斯电影节上放映。这部电影描述了受到创伤的加沙居民试图在以色列的空袭和自2007年以来实施的封锁下生活。你也能感受到生活是如何播下战斗的种子。这就是为什么以色列的军事反应及其对巴勒斯坦人生命的明显漠视永远不会带来持久和平。它只会在它必须与之并肩生活的人民之间制造更多的仇恨。真的很难过。我们应该感谢 TikTok 和流媒体电视拓宽了我们的视野。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 4:01 pm 一天前下午4:01

Poverty and unemployment breed an **inclination** to commit mass murder? Dear God, you actually believe that? If so, Native Americans should have slaughtered everyone by now. As for the **blockade** since 2007, convenient failure to mention why. Love that. Did it **pollute** the Irish **filmmakers**' narrative to include mention of **homicidal** attacks on Israelis from Gaza, the Gaza Israel pulled out of in 2005, and handed over to Palestinians? Who then elected Hamas. It's **amazing** how this is the ONLY conflict in the world in which one side gets a pass on its vicious violence because, you know, oppression. Explain Native Americans to me? Explain the **Maori**? No group in the world resorts to vicious violence the way Arabs do. See Yemen, Syria, Libya, etc. But it only matters when Palestinians are killed. **Golly** gee, I wonder why?

贫穷和失业滋生大屠杀的倾向？亲爱的上帝，你真的相信吗？如果是这样的话美洲原住民现在应该已经把所有人都杀了。至于2007年以来的封锁，方便未能提及原因。我喜欢。这是否玷污了爱尔兰电影制作人的叙述，包括提到杀戮袭击以色列人从加沙，加沙以色列在2005年撤出，并移交给巴勒斯坦人？然后选举了哈马斯。令人惊讶的是，这是世界上唯一的一场冲突，冲突一方因为受到压迫而放过了其邪恶的暴力行为。给我解释一下美洲原住民？解释一下毛利人？世界上没有任何一个组织像阿拉伯人那样诉诸邪恶的暴力。看看也门，叙利亚，利比亚等等。但只有在巴勒斯坦人被杀害的时候才重要。天啊，我想知道为什么？

Well, there it is. You win for the most cringe worthy comment of the week. Wonder, how do you feel about race and IQ or race and **propensity** for crime?

就是这样。你赢得了本周最令人尴尬的评论。想知道，你怎么看待种族和智商，或者种族和犯罪倾向?

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 7:08 pm 一天前下午7:08

She does have a point which you can't seem to address with facts.

她的确有一个你似乎无法用事实来解释的观点。

Reply 回复

7 hours ago at 1:34 pm 7小时前下午1:34

Is this satire? You actually think the statement "no group to violence the way Arabs do" is making a good point? What are your facts? Did you plot head **circumference** against **propensity** for violence? If so, I hope you used the y-axis for violence (response). Or is this just a general observation?

这是讽刺吗？你真的认为"没有哪个组织像阿拉伯人那样采取暴力行动"的说法是正确的吗？你的事实是什么？你有没有把头围和暴力倾向做对比？如果是这样，我希望你用 y 轴表示暴力(回应)。或者这只是一般的观察结果?

There are lots of observations we should **ponder**. Have you looked at published violent death rates by country? By those measure, Arabs aren't very good at violence, but select countries in Central/South America and Sub-Saharan Africa are super-duper **amazing** at it. Maybe the Arabs are just **inept**. What broad statements can we make about, say, Salvadorians and their ethno-racial **propensity** for violence?

有许多观察值得我们深思。你看过各国公布的暴力死亡率吗？按照这些标准，阿拉伯人并不擅长暴力，但是中南美洲的一些国家和撒哈拉以南非洲对暴力非常在行。也许阿拉伯人只是无能。对于萨尔瓦多人及其种族-种族暴力倾向，我们可以做出什么样的广泛陈述?

Or is this only **inter as opposed to** intra group? If so, America helped **liberate** earth from several hundred thousand Iraqis while **liberating** Iraq from ghost WMDs, thereby making us the **unrivaled** killing leader in the region over the **corresponding** period. Was that **viciously** violent (I bet it was if you were Iraqi), or does better media packaging and closer affinity **absolve** us?

或者这仅仅是团体内部的对立？如果是这样的话，美国帮助从几十万伊拉克人手中解放了地球，同时也把伊拉克从幽灵般的大规模杀伤性武器中解放出来，从而使我们在相应时期成为该地区无与伦比的杀戮领袖。这是恶毒的暴力(我敢打赌，如果你是伊拉克人)，还是更好的媒体包装和更密切的亲和力赦免了我们?

Should we go down the rabbit holes of money or crime and ethnicity/race? Honestly, this is as bad as the anti-**Semitic yuck** on campuses. I haven't even addressed the point about Native Americans **docilely** accepting their fate …

我们是否应该钻进金钱、犯罪和种族/民族的兔子洞？老实说，这和校园里的反犹太言论一样糟糕。我甚至还没有提到美洲原住民顺从地接受自己的命运..。

20 hours ago at 12:23 am 20小时前凌晨12:23

You should watch the film. It only **depicts** daily life. There is no narrative **per se**. As for your comparison to Native Americans, they did indeed commit what today we would call terrorist **atrocities** back in the 1800s when they still had the capacity to do so in the fight for their land. And so certainly did the U.S. government at the time. It's common historical knowledge.

你应该看这部电影。它只描绘了日常生活。故事本身并不存在。至于你把他们比作美洲原住民，他们确实在19世纪犯下了我们今天称之为恐怖主义暴行的罪行，当时他们还有能力为保卫自己的土地而这么做。当时的美国政府当然也是如此。这是常识。

Reply 回复

14 hours ago at 6:35 am 14小时前早上6:35

Humans are prone to violence when cornered… it's called fight or flight, look it up… and yes Rick while I'm sure it bothers you that **indigenous** native Americans still live in todays world… there was a time they were heavily **prosecuted** by the white **settlers** who stole their lands, then heavily harassed for being non-white.

Not sure you meant to respond to me …

不知道你是不是有意回应我。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:37 pm 一天前下午3时37分

I see what you did there:

我明白你的意思了:

The hole which is "What's the conflict in Israel about" could have been filled with more contextually accurate and unbiased as possible info from mainstream media all these years but there was enough of an Israeli bias that prevented that…

这些年来，"以色列的冲突是关于什么的"这个漏洞本可以从主流媒体那里得到尽可能准确和无偏见的信息，但是以色列的偏见已经足以阻止这一点… ..。

so NOW when the youths research why Hammas tries to justify their **unjustifiable** actions, the youths will get hits/videos showing the Israeli gov/settlers/supporters trying to justify their **unjustifiable** actions against Palestinians in the far and near past PLUS what they see today on the Tok , like, **craters** where people and buildings used to be.
They will feel embarassed that they didnt know what was up like when they realized how unoppressed their lives were after seeing Charlottesville and G Floyd get killed on the phones.
They will feel guilty that their gov/country has not helped/been explicitly complicit.
Then they will feel like they gotta make up for it with passion, protest and political **pugilism**.
Their passion and lack of access to info (phenomenah that describes reality as accurately as possible- my definition), **distrust** of the "news" from big media and big media itself makes them look for other sources, their own sources, thereby creating more **mislead** youths feeling like they have to make an "for them/against them" decision to pick a side. Do they get right or wrong? Yes!

所以现在，当年轻人研究为什么哈马斯试图证明他们的不正当行为，年轻人将得到点击/视频显示以色列政府/定居者/支持者试图证明他们的不正当行为对巴勒斯坦人在远和近过去加上他们今天看到的托克，像弹坑的人和建筑物曾经。在看到夏洛茨维尔和 g Floyd 在电话里被杀后，他们会感到尴尬，因为他们不知道自己的生活是什么样的。他们会感到内疚，因为他们的政府/国家没有提供帮助/明确参与其中。然后他们会觉得他们必须用激情、抗议和政治斗争来弥补。他们的热情和缺乏获取信息的渠道(我的定义是尽可能准确地描述现实的现象)，对来自大媒体和大媒体本身的"新闻"的不信任使他们寻找其他来源，他们自己的来源，从而制造了更多的误导年轻人的感觉，好像他们必须做出一个"支持他们/反对他们"的决定来选择立场。他们是对还是错？太好了！

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:31 pm 一天前下午3时31分

It would be interesting to to know if the YouTube Shorts is consistent with TikToks? That would be an indicator as to whether the CCP are actively tipping the TikTok scales towards Palestinians or not? Prior to Oct 7, I have seen roughly equal amounts of pro-Palestinian creators on both platforms complain of being muted and silenced. Anyway, if you or your team read this, it would be interesting to see some stats comparing YouTube Shorts and TikTok.

想知道 YouTube Shorts 是否与 TikToks 一致？这将表明 CCP 是否正在积极地使 TikTok 的天平向巴勒斯坦人倾斜？在10月7日之前，我已经看到两个平台上大致相同数量的亲巴勒斯坦创作者抱怨被压制和沉默。无论如何，如果你或你的团队读到这里，看到一些比较 YouTube Shorts 和 TikTok 的统计数据会很有趣。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:00 pm 一天前下午三点

Excellent post. I hope we remember that TikTok is an extension of the Chinese government and we only see what they want us to see. Why do we never see the death camps of Muslims in Western China? Because the CCP won't allow it on TikTok. Why do we see so many images of suffering hundreds of Palestinian children and none of the suffering of millions of Ukrainian children? Because that's in the interest of the CCP, to divide the American public and **brainwash** American youth. Don't believe me? Alex Xu founder of the American **precursor** to TikTok changed his social profile name to "keepsSilence"…

非常好的职位。我希望我们记住，TikTok 是中国政府的延伸，我们只看到他们想让我们看到的东西。为什么我们从来没有在中国西部看到穆斯林的死亡集中营？因为中共不允许在 TikTok 上这么做。为什么我们看到这么多数以百计的巴勒斯坦儿童遭受苦难的画面，却看不到数以百万计的乌克兰儿童遭受苦难的画面？因为这符合中共的利益，分裂美国公众，给美国年轻人洗脑。不相信我？TikTok 的美国前身创始人 Alex Xu 将他的社交账号名称改为" keeps silence"..。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:54 pm 一天前下午2:54

Interesting to focus in on TikTok and not Meta. Meta has been censoring pro-Palestinian content and bumping it down the algorithm as has been heavily observed by users. Couldn't I construct the reverse argument that "older generations are more likely to use Facebook and thus be exposed to pro-**Zionist** content, which is **skewing** favour towards Israel?" I think you are right to highlight that wars in this age of social media are different, but I think this is less a platform conspiracy or a generational trend and more of an inherent reaction to oppression and genocide. In the book of "worst crimes" genocide is and should be at the top.

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:03 pm 一天前下午3:03

Absolutely untrue. I see more pro-Palestinian propaganda on Meta/Facebook than I see honest reports about what's happening in the Middle East or Ukraine. What they are trying to pull down is deep fakes generated by AI, which is rampant in social media, created by Russia and Iran.

绝对不是。我在 Meta/Facebook 上看到的支持巴勒斯坦的宣传比我看到的关于中东或乌克兰正在发生的事情的真实报道还要多。他们试图打击的是俄罗斯和伊朗制造的人工智能(AI)造假，这种技术在社交媒体上泛滥成灾。

Reply 回复

4 hours ago at 4:57 pm 4小时前下午4:57

Untrue. I've seen more Anti Israel content in the last 4 weeks – despite being subscribed to pro Israel content – than I've ever seen before by perhaps an **order of magnitude**. Even comments on non political news posts are **rife** with "Free Palestine" spam.

不对。在过去的4周里，我看到了更多反以色列的内容——尽管我订阅了支持以色列的内容——比我以前看到的任何一个数量级都要多。甚至非政治新闻帖子上的评论也充斥着"自由巴勒斯坦"的垃圾邮件。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:50 pm 一天前下午2:50

Our multigenerational family does not see Hamas attack as justified. We do agree with Jewish Voice For Peace in supporting Cease Fire Now. No one I know sees Hamas attack as justified. We do believe oppression, **apartheid** and dropping bombs does not make anyone safe.

我们这个世代相传的家庭并不认为哈马斯的袭击是正当的。我们同意犹太和平之声支持现在停火。我认识的人中没有一个认为哈马斯的袭击是正当的。我们确实相信压迫、种族隔离和投掷炸弹不会使任何人安全。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:58 pm 一天前下午2:58

It's easy to be against bombings and support **ceasefire** when living in the safety of North America. Here in Israel we run every day to bomb shelter against rocket attacks on Tel Aviv. Hiw can we live with an ISIS-like organization at our backyard? You wouldn't! Hamas is hiding inside residential areas of Gaza, how can we destroy it? The world tells us what not to do but not what to do. Will the world be happier if another 10.7 happens, just because we accepted a **ceasefire**?

生活在安全的北美，很容易反对轰炸，支持停火。在以色列，我们每天都跑到防空洞里躲避对特拉维夫的火箭弹袭击。我们怎么能在我们的后院和一个类似 isis 的组织生活在一起？你不会的！哈马斯隐藏在加沙居民区内，我们怎样才能摧毁它？世界告诉我们什么不该做，但不告诉我们该做什么。如果仅仅因为我们接受了停火，就再发生一次10.7事件，这个世界会更幸福吗？

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:03 pm 一天前下午3:03

Thank you for this rational comment Dan

谢谢你的理性评论，丹

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 3:04 pm 一天前下午3:04

Thank you for this **astute** comment Dan

谢谢你的精辟评论，丹

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 8:22 pm 一天前晚上8:22

Wendy you are doing a great injustice for the black people by calling Israel **apartheid**. Go learn about the Arabs in Israel and see they have full equal rights, they are part of the Israeli parliament, and **judicial** system. No "race based" discrimination in Israel

人，这是一种"基于种族"的歧视，如果你这样做，你将面临死刑。
我想，加洛韦教授的意思是，你们这一代人正在喂养 tiktok。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 2:47 pm 一天前下午2时47分

Wow … So, this is the first take you have made where I was just shaking my head the whole time. I've worked in various social media most of my career and online has definitively been pro-Palestine for a long, long time—especially on the left, but it's deep in hard-Libertarian thought as well. It's been so **pervasive** that I haven't thought of it as an 'alternative' view in many years. The entire narrative over countless 4chan, reddit, **blogger**, wordpress and live journal posts, in the last twenty-plus years, has been one that recognizes that Israel is following the abuse cycle. The victim becomes the **victimizer**—or in this case, the victims of a genocidal **maniac** become the genocidal **maniac** for another group. That view is taken as 'fact' by the under 30 crowd. They are waiting for the Palestinian Anne Frank story to **emerge**, and know it is out there.

哇... 这是你第一次拍到我一直在摇头。我的大部分职业生涯都是在各种社交媒体中度过的，在网络上支持巴勒斯坦已经有很长很长的时间了——尤其是在左翼阵营中，但是这也深深植根于强硬的自由意志主义思想。它是如此普遍，以至于我已经很多年没有把它看作是一种"另类"观点了。在过去的二十多年里，无数的4chan，reddit，blogger，wordpress 和实时日志帖子的整个故事，已经认识到以色列正在遭循虐待的循环。受害者成为施害者——或者在这种情况下，一个种族灭绝狂人的受害者成为另一个种族的种族灭绝狂人。这种观点被30岁以下的人群视为"事实"。他们正在等待巴勒斯坦安妮 · 弗兰克的故事浮出水面，并且知道它就在那里。

Add to this 9/11. The big "thing" that everyone under ~45 knows, deep-down, is that 9/11 was because of the **boomers** (The original 'Me' generation) support for anything and everything to do with Israel. The wars fought since Vietnam **have been around** oil and/or because of our support for Israel. The **boomers** are about bombing brown people, especially Muslim brown people, and every bomb dropped creates a fresh new radical—what very much looks like, genocide might not be in our best interest. What are we getting for all these billions?

再加上911事件。每个45岁以下的人都知道，9/11是因为婴儿潮一代(最初的"自我"一代)支持任何与以色列有关的事情。自越南战争以来的战争都是围绕着石油和/或因为我们对以色列的支持。婴儿潮时期出生的人喜欢轰炸棕色人种，尤其是穆斯林棕色人种，每投下一颗炸弹，就会产生一个新的激进分子——看起来很像是种族灭绝，可能并不符合我们的最佳利益。我们从这些数十亿美元中得到了什么?

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 2:35 pm 一天前下午2:35

Great post. And I think you are right about TikTok. My one question to you is what we should do about TikTok? Regulate it? Ban it? I think it is a dangerous tools that our enemies control. But I am not sure what to do about it?

好帖子。我认为你对 TikTok 的看法是正确的。我要问你的一个问题是，我们应该如何处理 TikTok？监管它？禁止？我认为这是一个危险的工具，我们的敌人控制。但我不知道该怎么办?

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 2:32 pm 一天前下午2:32

Good job, Scott, blame the Chinese. Are we at war with them? Why do you assume we aren't smart enough not to be manipulated by the CCP? Don't you value different perspectives?
Btw, before you refer to Vietnam again, I would suggest you read Shoot Anything That Moves. I knew I was being lied to, much like now, but it took decades to pass before the real horrors of that war were revealed. I'm 71 years old. I'm happy that there are alternative news sources today young people can turn to. They will learn faster.

干得好，斯科特，责怪中国人。我们是在和他们作战吗？你为什么认为我们不够聪明，不会被中共操纵？难道你不重视不同的观点吗？顺便说一句，在你再次提到越南之前，我建议你读一下《射击任何移动的东西》。我知道我被骗了，就像现在一样，但是在那场战争的真正恐怖暴露出来之前，过了几十年。我71岁了。我很高兴现在年轻人可以求助于其他的新闻来源。他们会学得更快。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 6:42 pm 一天前下午6时42分

Agreed.

同意。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 2:21 pm 一天前下午2时21分

Reagan started state universities away from state funding.

里根开办州立大学时没有州政府的资助。

This limited the influence of elected officials on the composition of universities.

这限制了民选官员对大学组成的影响。

It takes the kids 10-15 years to realize they were duped.

孩子们花了10-15年的时间才意识到他们被愚弄了。

I think that is the best explanation for this moment.

我认为这是目前最好的解释。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:20 pm 一天前下午2:20

Scott, take you own advice and don't talk about things you have no expertise in. Criticizing Israel is not being anti-**semitic**, pointing out war crimes by is real is not being anti-**semitic**. You said you literally want to **expel** students who question the actions of Israel rather than have them in school. You demand people follow you rather than engage in conversation. It's sad to see someone I used to respect so much go full "red pill" as you say. It's hard to view this objectively from your multi-million dollar hill of money. Sir down, and maybe learn something from the kids, because they are alright, alright?

斯科特，听从你自己的建议，不要谈论你不擅长的事情。批评以色列不是反犹，指出战争罪行是真实的不是反犹。你说你真的想开除那些质疑以色列行为的学生，而不是让他们上学。你要求人们跟随你，而不是参与对话。看到一个我曾经非常尊敬的人像你说的那样完全变成"红色药丸"，真让人难过。很难从你数百万美元的巨额财富中客观地看待这一点。先生下来，也许可以向孩子们学习一些东西，因为他们很好，好吗？

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:23 pm 一天前下午2时23分

That's not what he said at all but, I suspect, that's what you wanted to read as you are likely one of the students who believes it's okay to walk around with signs calling for the elimination of Israel and, **as a result**, the Jewish people.

这根本不是他所说的，但是，我怀疑，这就是你想要读到的，因为你可能是这样的一个学生，他们相信举着标语走来走去是可以的，要求消灭以色列，结果是消灭犹太人。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:34 pm 一天前下午2:34

If you listened to his podcasts, you'd know exactly what he's saying. But your comment, talk about projection.

如果你听了他的播客，你就会准确地知道他在说什么。但是你的评论，谈论的是投射。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 2:47 pm 一天前下午2时47分

Hey Tyler – I do not think demonstrating against Israel is wrong … But supporting Hamas – a terror organization that celebrated 9/11 (google it! You will see the **fireworks**), raped women, and **kidnapped** kids (not **as a result** of trying to kill Israeli soldier – but to **kidnap** and kill because they are Jews) – is NOT Ok … and even in America we have to put some red lines to the "freedom". You do not have to be an Israeli Supporter – all good – but you can't support an organization that is identical to ISIS. these students should be punished.

嘿，泰勒-我不认为示威反对以色列是错误的... 但支持哈马斯-一个恐怖组织庆祝9/11(谷歌它！你会看到烟火)，强奸妇女，绑架儿童(不是因为试图杀害以色列士兵，而是因为他们是犹太人而绑架和杀害)，这些都是不可接受的... 即使在美国，我们也必须给"自由"划上红线。你不必成为一个以色列的支持者———切都很好——但是你不能支持一个与 ISIS 相同的组织。这些学生应该受到惩罚。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:54 pm 一天前下午2:54

The sad truth is the lines are being blurred. If someone criticizes Israel it is assumed they support Hamas. If somebody supports Palestinians it's assumed they hate Jews. But these are not the facts, and it a lazy conflation that makes people take hard line views based on emotions and not reality.
What is reality is that when you punish someone because they don't agree or have a different (even flawed) view, you don't teach them, you **hardener** their position. And that's the opposite of what we want.

可悲的事实是，界限正在变得模糊。如果有人批评以色列，人们就会认为他们支持哈马斯。如果有人支持巴勒斯坦人，人们就会认为他们憎恨犹太人。但这些都不是事实，它是一种懒惰的混合，使人们采取强硬的观点，基于情感，而不是现实。事实是，当你因为某人不同意你的观点或者持有不同的(甚至是有缺陷的)观点而惩罚他时，你不是在教育他们，而是在强化他们的立场。这与我们想要的恰恰相反。

Reply 回复

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:51 pm 一天前下午2:51

Exactly my feeling. Very disappointing and pro-**totalitarian** post by Prof G.
First the call to track down and **expel** (or maybe jail, torture, **maim**, kill…) students who oppose mass murder of civilians. Now this opinion. What will be next?

正是我的感觉。G 教授发表的一篇非常令人失望并且支持极权主义的文章。首先，呼吁追查并驱逐(或者监禁、折磨、残害、杀害… …)那些反对大规模屠杀平民的学生。现在这个意见。接下来会发生什么?

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:58 pm 一天前下午2:58

I'd also like to add, shut up about Dresden, you know nothing about that. I lives there for three years, and I can guarantee it was not strategic, it was to kill moral and simply level an artistic center of Germany. Again, don't talk about things you have no deep exposure to. Bombing Dresden didn't make a single tangible impact to the war.

我还想补充一点，别再提德累斯顿了，你对那个一无所知。我在那里住了三年，我可以保证那不是战略性的，那是为了抹杀道德，只是为了夷平德国的艺术中心。再次强调，不要谈论你没有深入接触过的事情。轰炸德累斯顿并没有给战争带来任何实质性的影响。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:16 pm 一天前下午2时16分

I don't know if I agree that Gen Z is anti-**semite**. I don't want to believe that. I instead want to believe that they are pro-humanity, and hence they have empathy for the **plight** of the Palestinians, not Hamas or Hezbollah, but the "every day" Palestinian.

我不知道我是否同意 z 世代是反犹太人的观点。我不想相信。相反，我愿意相信他们是亲人类的，因此他们对巴勒斯坦人的困境感同身受，不是哈马斯或真主党，而是"每天"的巴勒斯坦人。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:21 pm 一天前下午2时21分

Yes, of course, they are pro-humanity, yet they ignore (Israeli) babies being burned in ovens and children being **beheaded**. Why? Either **wilfully**, or because it didn't show up in their Tik Tok feed.

是的，当然，他们是亲人类的，但是他们忽略了(以色列)婴儿在烤箱里被烧死，儿童被斩首。为什么？要么是故意的，要么是因为它没有出现在他们的 tiktok feed 中。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:32 pm 一天前下午2:32

And you ignore babies and children being blown up **en masse**. At least 3:1 children deaths, Incase your trying to keep score. The fact is people are looking at the whole picture and not just a single narrative.

你忽略了婴儿和儿童被集体炸死的事实。至少3:1的儿童死亡，如果你试图记分。事实上，人们看到的是整个画面，而不仅仅是一个单一的故事。

Reply 回复

24 hours ago at 8:53 pm 24小时前晚上8:53

Let's try to put ourselves **in the shoes of** Israel.
So Gaza strip is fully **governed** by Hamas, who won the election. We read the Hamas "manifest" (aka charter) and learn that:
The charter states that "our struggle against the Jews is very great and very serious" and calls for the eventual creation of an Islamic state in Palestine, in place of Israel and the Palestinian Territories, and the **obliteration** or **dissolution** of Israel.
So we are Israel, our neighbor is this organization that calls for our destruction, it operates from within the population, including hospitals and schools, and it just launched a vicious attack on us.
What do you do?
1) Nothing, as you don't want to hurt innocent civilians and you are willing to let them destroy you bit by bit (again, that's their ultimate goal they talk about it openly).
2) you bomb the hell out of Gaza "killem all" style
3) you decide to protect your people and remove this organization who calls to your destruction. You target your attacks on their **militants** and facilities knowing there will be



院和学校，它刚刚对我们发动了一次恐怖的攻击。
你是做什么的?
1)没什么，因为你不想伤害无辜的平民，你愿意让他们一点一点地摧毁你(再说一次，这是他们公开谈论的最终目标)。
2)你以"杀光一切"的风格轰炸了加沙
3)你决定保护你的人民，除掉这个号召毁灭你的组织。你的目标是他们的武装分子和设施的攻击，知道有附带损害，由于他们的行动的性质。
Israel 选了3个，你能推荐4个吗?

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 7:25 pm 一天前晚上7:25

Children who are killed by bombs , are just as dead as those who are **beheaded**. Revenge is not an answer. And TikTok and and the CCP only matter to Prof-G .

被炸死的儿童和被斩首的儿童一样死亡。复仇不是答案。而 TikTok 和 CCP 只对 g 教授有意义。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:12 pm 一天前下午2时12分

Scott you are, in part, **conflating** anti-Semitism with opposition to some of the policies of the state of Israel. I can love and support Jews, condemn the actions of Hamas, AND be **stridently** opposed to the notion of acceptable **collateral damage** that includes killing thousands of Palestinian civilians – many of whom are children.

斯科特，你在某种程度上把反犹太主义和反对以色列国的某些政策混为一谈。我可以爱护和支持犹太人，谴责哈马斯的行动，并强烈反对可接受的附带损害的概念，包括杀害数以千计的巴勒斯坦平民——其中许多是儿童。

It is both a **complicated** and complex situation for which I don't have the answer – and it would appear that nobody does. But simply questioning the utility and ethics (and long-term second order effects) of an air/ground war by Israel doesn't make one anti-Jewish.

这是一个既复杂又复杂的情况，我不知道答案——而且似乎没有人知道答案。但是，仅仅质疑以色列发动空中/地面战争的效用和道德(以及长期的次要影响)，并不能使一个人成为反犹太人。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:06 pm 一天前下午2:06

Interesting – as an 'elder' and a Jew I am most definitely two-state (always had been.) I am NOT a fan of Bibi..and we must remember that it was just months ago that many thousands of Israeli's were protesting against him. You cannot/should not meet horror with horror. There are no winners here – only innocent victims and it hurts my heart.

有趣的是，作为一个"长者"和一个犹太人，我绝对是两个国家(一直都是)我不是 Bibi 的粉丝。.我们必须记住，就在几个月前，成千上万的以色列人还在抗议他。你不能[不应该]以恐惧面对恐惧。这里没有赢家——只有无辜的受害者，这让我心痛。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:05 pm 一天前下午2:05

I think you're missing a huge point of comparison. Show me where you spend your money and I'll show you what you value. The US committed over $3.3 billion in foreign assistance to Israel last year – while at the same time American Millennials and Gen Z are watching the US **deteriorate** under: Housing Crisis, Student Loan Crisis, Opioid **Epidemic**, School Shootings, Health Care Crisis, **Boomer** and Gen X Retirement savings failure, Inflation Crisis, **Flint** Water Crisis, **Militarization** of Local Police Forces, **Too Big To Fail Bailouts**, **on and on** and on.

我认为你缺少一个重要的比较点。告诉我你在哪里花钱，我就告诉你你看重什么。去年，美国承诺向以色列提供超过33亿美元的外国援助——与此同时，美国的千禧一代和 z 一代正在看着美国在以下问题下恶化: 住房危机、学生贷款危机、阿片类药物流行、校园枪击案、医疗保健危机、婴儿潮一代和 x 一代退休储蓄失败、通货膨胀危机、弗林特水危机、当地警察部队军事化、太大而不能失败的救助，等等等等。

I understand the **atrocities** that have happened in the past. I understand that **atrocities** that are going on right now. I really believe we need to evaluate our national and international priorities right now.

我理解过去发生的暴行。我知道现在正在发生的暴行。我真的认为，我们现在需要评估我们的国家和国际优先事项。

Reply 回复

1 day ago at 2:18 pm 一天前下午2:18

Foreign Aid to Israel is dwarfed by the foreign aid spent by the US to Arab nations, including the Palestinians. ($3B vs $18B). But it's interesting that you pick Israel as your example.



This is **demonstrably** incorrect. Israel receives 44% of the MENA budget from congress (7 billion, not sure where you find 18). The majority of the rest goes to Egypt Jordan and Lebanon. 276 million went to west bank and Gaza. Your turn.

这显然是不正确的。以色列从国会获得中东和北非地区预算的44% (70亿美元，不知道你从哪里找到的18亿美元)。其余的大部分去了埃及、约旦和黎巴嫩。2.76亿美元流向西岸和加沙。轮到你了。

Reply 回复



1 day ago at 2:48 pm 一天前下午2:48

Young liberals in the US are moraly blind by academic ideas of "**decolonization**" and **identity politics**, that they become the supporters of an ISIS like organization, alongside with Iran, Russia and North Korea. The abillity to justify this Islamic terror shows that the lesson from 9/11 wasn't taught well enough to young Americans, that can't see how Islamic fundamentalists are using their "tolerance" to destroy any Western core value.
Thank you for your words, Scott

美国的年轻自由主义者被"去殖民化"和身份政治的学术思想蒙蔽了道德眼睛，以至于他们与伊朗、俄罗斯和朝鲜一道，成为了一个类似 ISIS 的组织的支持者。这种为伊斯兰恐怖主义辩护的能力表明，美国的年轻人没有从911事件中吸取足够的教训，他们看不到伊斯兰原教旨主义者是如何利用他们的"容忍"来摧毁任何西方核心价值观的。谢谢你的话，斯科特

Reply 回复

Join the 420,000 who subscribe

加入42万订阅用户的行列

To resist is **futile** … new content every Friday.

抵制是徒劳的... ... 每周五都有新的内容。

Your Email Address Subscribe 订阅

By subscribing, you agree to receive emails from Scott Galloway and his edtech startup, Section. 通过订阅，您同意接收来自 Scott Galloway 和他的教育科技创业公司 Section 的电子邮件For details, read our Privacy Policy. 有关详情，请阅读我们的隐私政策

© Copyright 2023 Scott Galloway. All rights reserved.

版权所有2023 Scott Galloway 保留所有权利。